## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 21-mj-71905-MAG
Plaintiff, v.  John Russell Bellhouse $Defendant(s).$	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1 )
Trial Act from December 3, 2021 to January 10, 2022	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the p or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, are diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
<u> </u>	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the partie the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for	nd taking into account the public interest in the prompt art sets <u>a status conference</u> for the date set forth in the first s' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Danny
DATED:12/6/2021	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: /s/ Shaffy Moeel	/s/ Molly K. Priedeman
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney